

Pure, Unalloyed Evil Masked as a Pandemic

Analysis by Mike Whitney

STORY AT-A-GLANCE

- › Mike Yeadon, a former vice president of allergy and respiratory research at Pfizer, is on a mission to inform as many people as possible about the elite powerbrokers that are using the pandemic as a smokescreen to conceal their real objectives
- › Yeadon has done extensive research on the matter and provides compelling evidence that depopulation may, in fact, be the goal
- › COVID variants are being used to fuel COVID hysteria and perpetuate repressive social restrictions
- › Mass vaccination may actually be the pathway to absolute social control by technocratic elites accountable to no one

“Hell is empty and all the devils are here.” William Shakespeare, The Tempest

Mike Yeadon is a soft-spoken microbiologist and a former vice-president of allergy and respiratory research at Pfizer. He spent 32 years working for large pharmaceutical companies and is a leading expert on viral respiratory infections.

He is also a man on a mission, and his mission is to inform as many people as possible about the elite powerbrokers that are using the pandemic as a smokescreen to conceal their real objectives. Here’s Yeadon in a recent interview:¹

“If you wanted to depopulate a significant portion of the world, and to do it in a way that wouldn’t require destruction of the environment with nuclear weapons,

or poisoning everyone with anthrax or something, and you wanted plausible deniability, whilst you had a multi-year infectious disease crisis; I don't think you could come up with a better plan of work than what seems to be in front of me.

I can't say that's what they're going to do, but I cannot think of a benign explanation for why they are doing it."

"Depopulation?" Who said anything about depopulation? Isn't it a bit of a stretch to go from a mass vaccination campaign to allegations of a conspiracy to "depopulate a significant portion of the world?" Indeed, it is, but Yeadon has done extensive research on the matter and provides compelling evidence that such a diabolical objective may, in fact, be the goal.

Humans Are Capable of Unimaginable Viciousness and Cruelty

Moreover, it is not for lack of proof that people are not persuaded that Yeadon is right, but something more fundamental; the inability to grasp that men are capable of almost-unimaginable viciousness and cruelty. Here's Yeadon again:²

"It's become absolutely clear to me, even when I talk to intelligent people, friends, acquaintances ... and they can tell I'm telling them something important, but they get to the point [where I say] 'your government is lying to you in a way that could lead to your death and that of your children,' and they can't begin to engage with it.

And I think maybe 10% of them understand what I said, and 90% of those blank their understanding of it because it is too difficult. And my concern is, we are going to lose this, because people will not deal with the possibility that anyone is so evil ...

But I remind you of what happened in Russia in the 20th century, what happened in 1933 to 1945, what happened in, you know, Southeast Asia in some of the most awful times in the post-war era. And, what happened in China with Mao

and so on ... We've only got to look back two or three generations. All around us there are people who are as bad as the people doing this.

They're all around us. So, I say to folks, the only thing that really marks this one out, is its scale. But actually, this is probably less bloody, it's less personal, isn't it? The people who are steering this ... it's going to be much easier for them. They don't have to shoot anyone in the face.

They don't have to beat someone to death with a baseball bat, or freeze them, starve them, make them work until they die. All of those things did happen two or three generations back ... That's how close we are. And all I'm saying is, some shifts like that are happening again, but now they are using molecular biology."

People 'Cannot Imagine Anything so Demonic'

He's right, isn't he? Whereas, a great many people know that the government, the media and the public health officials have been lying to them about everything from the efficacy of masks, social distancing and lockdowns, to the life-threatening dangers of experimental vaccines, they still refuse to believe that the people orchestrating this operation might be pushing them inexorably toward infertility or an early death.

They cannot imagine anything so demonic, so they stick their heads in the sand and pretend not to see what is going on right beneath their noses. It's called "denial" and it is only strengthening the position of the puppet masters that are operating behind the scenes. Here's more from Yeadon:³

"... In the last year I have realized that my government and its advisers are lying in the faces of the British people about everything to do with this coronavirus. Absolutely everything. It's a fallacy this idea of asymptomatic transmission and that you don't have symptoms, but you are a source of a virus.

That lockdowns work, that masks have a protective value obviously for you or someone else, and that variants are scary things and we even need to close international borders in case some of these nasty foreign variants get in."

Many readers may have noticed that this interview appeared on a small Christian website called Lifesite News. Why is that? Shouldn't the informed observations of a former Pfizer vice president appear on the front pages of The New York Times or The Washington Post? Wouldn't you expect the big cable news channels to run a hot-button interview like this as their headline story?

Of course not. No one expects that, because everyone knows that the media honchos reflexively quash any story that doesn't support the "official narrative," that is, that COVID is the most contagious and lethal virus of all time, which requires a new authoritarian political structure and the wholesale evisceration of civil liberties.

No One Is Allowed to Refute the Official Propaganda

Isn't that the underlying storyline of the last year? COVID skeptics and naysayers, like Yeadon, are not allowed to refute the official propaganda or debate the issue on a public forum. They're effectively banned from the MSM and consigned to the outer reaches of the Internet where only a scattered few will read what they have to say. Here's more:⁴

"Everything I have told you, every single one of those things is demonstrably false. But our entire national policy is based on these all being broadly right, but they are all wrong. But what I would like to do is talk about immune escape because I think that's probably going to be the end game for this whole event, which I think is probably a conspiracy.

Last year I thought it was what I called 'convergent opportunism.' That is, a bunch of different stakeholder groups have managed to pounce on a world in chaos to push us in a particular direction. So, it looked like it was kind of linked, but I was prepared to say it was just convergence.

I [now] think that's naive. There is no question in my mind that very significant powerbrokers around the world have either planned to take advantage of the next pandemic or created the pandemic. One of those two things is true because the reason it must be true is that dozens and dozens of governments

are all saying the same lies and doing the same inefficacious things that demonstrably cost lives.”

Let's pause for a minute, and ask ourselves why a modest, self-effacing microbiologist who operated in the shadows for his entire professional career has thrust himself into the limelight when he knows, for certain, he will either be ridiculed, smeared, discredited, dragged through the mud or killed.

In fact, he openly admits that he fears for his safety and assumes that he could be “removed” (“assassinated”) by his enemies. So, why is he doing this? Why is he risking life and limb to get the word out about vaccines?

A Moral Obligation to Warn People

It's because he feels a moral obligation to warn people about the danger they face. Yeadon is not an attention-seeking narcissist. In fact, he'd rather vanish from public life altogether.

But he's not going to do that because he's selflessly committed to doing his duty by sounding the alarm about a malign strategy that may well lead to the suffering and death of literally tens of millions of people. That's why he's doing it, because he's an honorable man with a strong sense of decency. Remember decency? Here's more:⁵

“You can see that I am desperately trying not to say that it is a conspiracy, because I have no direct evidence that it is a conspiracy. Personally, all my instincts are shouting that it's a conspiracy as a human being, but as a scientist, I can't point to the smoking gun that says they made this up on purpose.”

Many of us who have followed events closely for the last year and have searched the internet for alternate points of view are equally convinced that it is a conspiracy, just as Russiagate was a conspiracy. And while we might not have conclusive, rock-solid proof of criminal activity, there is voluminous circumstantial evidence to support the claim.

By definition, a “conspiracy” is “an evil, unlawful, treacherous, or surreptitious plan formulated in secret by two or more persons.”⁶ What is taking place presently across the western world meets that basic definition.

Just as the contents of this article meet the basic definition of a “conspiracy theory,” which is “an attempt to explain harmful or tragic events as the result of the actions of a small powerful group. Such explanations reject the accepted narrative surrounding those events; indeed, the official version may be seen as further proof of the conspiracy.”⁷

We make no attempt to deny that this is a conspiracy theory, any more than we deny that senior-level officials at the FBI, CIA, DOJ and U.S. State Department were involved in a covert operation aimed at convincing the American people that Donald Trump was a Russian agent.

That was a conspiracy theory that was later proven to be a fact. We expect that the facts about the COVID operation will eventually emerge, acquitting us on that account as well. Here’s more from Yeadon:⁸

“I think the end game is going to be, ‘everyone receives a vaccine’ ... Everyone on the planet is going to find themselves persuaded, cajoled, not quite mandated, hemmed-in to take a jab.

When they do that every single individual on the planet will have a name, or unique digital ID and a health status flag which will be ‘vaccinated,’ or not ... and whoever possesses that, sort of single database, operable centrally, applicable everywhere to control, to provide as it were, a privilege, you can either cross this particular threshold or conduct this particular transaction or not depending on [what] the controllers of that one human population database decide.

And I think that’s what this is all about because once you’ve got that, we become playthings and the world can be as the controllers of that database want it.”

Mass Vaccination a Pathway to Absolute Social Control

So mass vaccination is actually the pathway to absolute social control by technocratic elites accountable to no one? Are we there yet? Pretty close, I'd say. Here's more:⁹

"And they are talking the same sort of future script which is, 'We don't want you to move around because of these pesky 'variants' – (but) 'don't worry, there will be 'top-up' vaccines that will cope with the potential escapees.' They're all saying this when it is obviously nonsense."

Is he right? Is the variant hobgoblin now being invoked to prolong the restrictions, intensify the paranoia and pave the way for endless rounds of mass vaccination? Judge for yourself, but here's a sampling of articles that appeared in recent news that will help you decide:

1. Reuters – South African Variant Can 'Break Through' Pfizer Vaccine, Israeli study says¹⁰

"The coronavirus variant discovered in South Africa can 'break through' Pfizer/BioNTech's COVID-19 vaccine to some extent, a real-world data study in Israel found, though its prevalence in the country is low and the research has not been peer reviewed ...

We found a disproportionately higher rate of the South African variant among people vaccinated with a second dose, compared to the unvaccinated group. This means that the South African variant is able, to some extent, to break through the vaccine's protection," said Tel Aviv University's Adi Stern. (So, according to the article – the vaccine doesn't work.)

2. The New York Times – Rise of Variants in Europe Shows How Dangerous the Virus Can Be¹¹

"Europe, the epicenter of the coronavirus pandemic last spring, has once again swelled with new cases, which are inundating some local hospitals

and driving a worrisome global surge of Covid-19.

But this time, the threat is different: The rise in new cases is being propelled by a coronavirus variant first seen in Britain and known as B.1.1.7. The variant is not only more contagious than last year's virus, but also deadlier.

The variant is now spreading in at least 114 countries. Nowhere, though, are its devastating effects as visible as in Europe, where thousands are dying each day and countries' already-battered economies are once again being hit by new restrictions on daily life ...

Vaccines will eventually defeat the variants, scientists say. [So, they don't work now??] And stringent restrictions can drive down cases of B.1.1.7. [So, don't leave your home.] ...

'We've seen in so many countries how quickly it can become dominant,' said Lone Simonsen, a professor and director of the PandemiX Center at Roskilde University in Denmark.

'And when it dominates, it takes so much more effort to maintain epidemic control than was needed with the old variant.'" [In other words, we are effectively dealing with a different pathogen that requires a different antidote. It's an admission that the current crop of vaccines doesn't work.]

3. Cell – SARS-CoV-2 Variants B.1.351 and P.1 Escape From Neutralizing Antibodies¹²

"... our findings indicate that the B.1.351 and P.1 variants might be able to spread in convalescent patients or BNT162b2-vaccinated individuals and thus constitute an elevated threat to human health.

Containment of these variants by non-pharmaceutic interventions is an important task." [Note – In other words, the new vaccines don't work against the new COVID strains, so we might need to preserve the onerous lockdown restrictions forever.]

How can people read this fearmongering bunkum and not see that it is designed to terrify and manipulate the masses into sheeplike compliance?

Variant Being Used to Fuel COVID Hysteria

There's no denying that the variant is being used to fuel the COVID hysteria and perpetuate the repressive social restrictions. So, the question we should be asking ourselves is whether we can trust what we are being told by the media and the public health officials?

And the answer is "No," we cannot trust them. They have repeatedly misled the public on all manner of topics including masks, asymptomatic transmission, immunity, infection fatality rate, social distancing and now variants. According to Sunetra Gupta, who is professor of theoretical epidemiology in the Department of Zoology at the University of Oxford, and a Royal Society Wolfson Research Fellow:¹³

"... some of these variants could be more transmissible, but the truth is ... even with a marginal increase in transmissibility ... that does not have much of a material effect or difference in how we deal with the virus. In other words, the surge of the virus cannot be ascribed to a new variant ...

The other question is are these variants more virulent, and the truth is we don't know, but it is unlikely because the data don't seem to say so despite the scary headlines ... Pathogens tend to evolve toward lower virulence ... because that maximizes their transmissibility ... It is much more probable that these strains will not be materially so different that we would have to alter our policies."

So, according to Gupta, even if the new strains of COVID are more transmissible, it is highly unlikely that they are more lethal. Here's more on the topic from diagnostic pathologist Dr. Clare Craig, who provides a more technical explanation:¹⁴

"SARS-CoV-2 genetic sequence has ~30,000 letters. Alterations in a handful of letters will not change it's shape much – if it did it wouldn't function properly

anyway. Fear mongering about immune escape is not needed and is irresponsible especially when no evidence to support the claims.”

In essence, Craig is saying the same thing we said earlier, that the slight mutations to the infection will not impact the immune reaction of people who already had the virus. Thus, the current crop of “variants” should not be a cause for alarm. If you have already had COVID or if you already have prior immunity due to previous exposure to similar infections, (SARS, for example) the new strain should not be a problem.

It should also not be a problem if the new vaccines provide the type of broad-based immunity that one should expect of them. Again, the mutations represent only the slightest change in the composition of the pathogen (less than 1%), which means that – if the vaccines don’t work – they are, in effect, useless.

Media Misstating Science to Terrify the Public

Here’s a longer explanation that some readers might find overly technical and perhaps tedious, but it’s worth wading through in order to see that the media is deliberately misstating the science to terrify the public. This excerpt is from an article by Yeadon. Here’s what he said:¹⁵

“The idea is planted in people’s mind that this virus is mutating in such a way as to evade prior immunity. This is completely unfounded, certainly as regards immunity ... (that is) gained naturally, after repelling the virus ... It’s important to appreciate that upon infection, the human immune system cuts up an infectious agent into short pieces.

Each of these short pieces of protein are presented to other cells in the immune system, like an identity parade ... These have a range of functions. Some make antibodies & others are programmed to kill cells infected by the virus, recognized by displaying on their surface signals that tell the body that they’ve been invaded.

In almost all cases ... this smart adaptive system overcomes the infection. Crucially ... this event leaves you with many different kinds of long-lived 'memory' cells which, if you're infected again, rapidly wipe out any attempt at reinfection.

So, you won't again be made ill by the same virus, and because the virus is simply not permitted to replicate, you are also no longer able to participate in transmission ... The general 'direction of travel' (for viruses) is to become less injurious but easier to transmit, eventually joining the other 40 or so viruses which cause what we collectively term 'the common cold.'

What generally doesn't happen is for mutants to become more lethal to the hosts (us). But the key point I wanted to get across is just how large SARS-COV-2 is. I recall it's of the order of 30,000 letters of genetic code which, when translated, make around 10,000 amino acids in several viral proteins.

Now you can see that the kinds of numbers of changes in the letters of the genetic code are truly tiny in comparison with the whole. 30 letter changes might be roughly 0.1% of the virus's code. In other words, 99.9% of that code is not different from the so-called Wuhan strain.

Similarly, the changes in the protein translated from those letter code alterations are overwhelmed by the vast majority of the unchanged protein sequences. So your immune system, recognizing as it does perhaps dozens of short pieces ... will not be fooled by a couple of small changes to a tiny fraction of these.

No: your immune system knows immediately that this is an invader it's seen before, and has no difficulty whatsoever in dealing with it swiftly & without symptoms. So, it's a scientifically invalid ...

... even if mutations did change a couple of these, the majority of the pieces ... of the mutated virus will still be unchanged & recognized by the vaccine-immune system or the virus-infected immune system & a prompt, vigorous response will still protect you."

Why Are Public Health Officials and the Media Lying?

Let's summarize: We have presented the informed views of three reputable scientists all of who explicitly refute the idea that the so called "variants:"

1. Are more lethal
2. Have the potential to reinfect people who have already had COVID
3. Have mutated enough to reinfect people who have already been vaccinated (unless, of course) the vaccine does not provide broad-based immunity to begin with (which is possible since Phase 3 long-term trials were never conducted).

So, why are the public health officials and the media lying about this matter, which is fairly clear-cut and uncontroversial? That is the question.

Yeadon concludes that there is something flagrantly diabolical about their denial. He thinks they are lying in order to dupe more people into getting injected with a substance that will either render them infertile, cause them great bodily harm or kill them outright. Take your pick. Here's more:¹⁶

"The eugenicists have got hold of the levers of power and this is a really artful way of getting you to line-up and receive some unspecified thing that will damage you. I have no idea what it will actually be, but it won't be a vaccine because you don't need one. And it won't kill you on the end of the needle because you would spot that.

It could be something that will produce normal pathology, it will be at various times between vaccination and the event, it will be plausibly deniable because there will be something else going on in the world at that time, in the context of which your demise, or that of your children will look normal.

That's what I would do if I wanted to get rid of 90 or 95% of the world's population. And I think that's what they're doing."

"The eugenicists have got hold of the levers of power?" Has Yeadon gone mad?

Has the pressure of the global pandemic pushed him off the deep end or is he “on to something” big, something that no one even dares to even think about; a plan so dark and sinister that its implementation would constitute the most grievous and coldblooded crime against humanity of all time; the injection of billions of people with a toxic elixir whose spike protein dramatically compromises their immune systems clearing the way for agonizing widespread suffering followed by mountains of carnage?

There are others, however, who see a connection between the current vaccination campaign and “the eugenicists.” In fact, Dr. Joseph Mercola points to the link between the lead developer of the AstraZeneca vaccine, Adrian Hill, and the Eugenics movement. According to [Mercola](#):

“Hill gave a lecture at the Galton Institute (which was known as the U.K. Eugenics Society) in 2008 for its 100-year anniversary. As noted in Webb’s article:¹⁷

‘Arguably most troubling of all is the direct link of the vaccine’s lead developers to the Wellcome Trust and, in the case of Adrian Hill, the Galton Institute, two groups with longstanding ties to the UK eugenics movement.

The latter organization, named for the ‘father of eugenics’ Francis Galton, is the renamed U.K. Eugenics Society, a group notorious for over a century for its promotion of racist pseudoscience and efforts to ‘improve racial stock’ by reducing the population of those deemed inferior.

The ties of Adrian Hill to the Galton Institute should raise obvious concerns given the push to make the Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine he developed with [Sarah] Gilbert the vaccine of choice for the developing world, particularly countries in Latin America, South and Southeast Asia, and Africa, the very areas where the Galton Institute’s past members have called for reducing population growth ...

Emeritus professor of molecular genetics at the Galton Institute and one of its officers is none other than David J. Galton, whose work includes ‘Eugenics: The Future of Human Life in the 21st Century.’

David Galton has written that the Human Genome Mapping Project... had 'enormously increased ... the scope for eugenics ... because of the development of a very powerful technology for the manipulation of DNA.'

This new 'wider definition of eugenics,' Galton has said, 'would cover methods of regulating population numbers as well as improving genome quality by selective artificial insemination by donor, gene therapy or gene manipulation of germ-line cells.' In expanding on this new definition, Galton is neutral as to 'whether some methods should be made compulsory by the state, or left entirely to the personal choice of the individual.

... The Wellcome Centre regularly cofunds the research and development of vaccines and birth control methods with ... a foundation (name withheld) that actively and admittedly engages in population and reproductive control in Africa and South Asia by, among other things, prioritizing the widespread distribution of injectable long-acting reversible contraceptives (LARCs).

The Wellcome Trust has also directly funded studies that sought to develop methods to 'improve uptake' of LARCs in places such as rural Rwanda...' LARCs afford women in the Global South 'the least choice possible short of actual sterilization.'

Some LARCs can render women infertile for as long as five years, and, as Levich argues, they 'leave far more control in the hands of providers, and less in the hands of women, than condoms, oral contraceptives, or traditional methods.'

... Slightly modified and rebranded as Jadelle, the dangerous drug was promoted in Africa ... Formerly named the Sterilization League for Human Betterment, EngenderHealth's original mission, inspired by racial eugenics, was to 'improve the biological stock of the human race.'"

Does Eugenics Factor Into the mRNA Vaccine?

So, how does “eugenics” factor into the creation and distribution of the mRNA vaccine? Is there a link or are we grasping at straws? We can’t answer that question, but a recent article by Mathew Ehret at Off-Guardian provides a few interesting clues. Here’s what he said:¹⁸

“The fact that the organizations promoting the rise of this eugenics policy throughout Nazi Germany and North America included such powerhouses as the Rockefeller Foundation, the Wellcome Trust and the Human Sterilization League for Human Betterment ... which have all taken leading roles in the World Health Organization over recent decades is more than a little concerning.

The fact that these eugenics organizations simply re-branded themselves after WWII and are now implicated in modern RNA vaccine development alongside the Galton Institute (formerly British Eugenics Association), Oxford’s AstraZeneca, Pfizer and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation should give any serious thinker pause as we consider what patterns of history we are willing to tolerate repeating in our presently precarious age.”

We’ll end this piece with an excerpt from a 2010 article by Andrew Gavin Marshall at Global Research, who presciently noted that:¹⁹

“Eugenics is about the social organization and control of humanity ... (particularly) population control ...

The ideas of Malthus, and later Herbert Spencer and Charles Darwin were remolded into branding an elite ideology of ‘Social Darwinism,’ which was ‘the notion that in the struggle to survive in a harsh world, many humans were not only less worthy, many were actually destined to wither away as a rite of progress. To preserve the weak and the needy was, in essence, an unnatural act.’

This theory simply justified the immense wealth, power and domination of a small elite over the rest of humanity, as that elite saw themselves as the only truly intelligent beings worthy of holding such power and privilege.

Francis Galton later coined the term “eugenics” to describe this emerging field. His followers believed that the ‘genetically unfit’ ‘would have to be wiped away,’ using tactics such as ‘segregation, deportation, castration, marriage prohibition, compulsory sterilization, passive euthanasia – and ultimately extermination’ ...

Sir Julian Huxley was also a life trustee of the British Eugenics Society from 1925, and its President from 1959-62 ... ‘Huxley believed that eugenics would one day be seen as the way forward for the human race,’ and that, ‘A catastrophic event may be needed for evolution to move at an accelerated pace’ ... It is much the same with ideas whose time has not yet come; they must survive periods when they are not generally welcome.

The 21st-century technologies are so powerful that they can spawn whole new classes of accidents and abuses. Most dangerously, for the first time, these accidents and abuses are widely within the reach of individuals or small groups.

They will not require large facilities or rare raw materials. Knowledge alone will enable the use of them ... I think it is no exaggeration to say we are on the cusp of the further perfection of extreme evil, an evil whose possibility spreads well beyond that which weapons of mass destruction bequeathed to the nation-states, on to a surprising and terrible empowerment of extreme individuals.

... Due to improved techniques the elite will have greater control over the masses; and because human work will no longer be necessary the masses will be superfluous, a useless burden on the system.

If the elite is ruthless they may simply decide to exterminate the mass of humanity. If they are humane they may use propaganda or other psychological or biological techniques to reduce the birth rate until the mass of humanity becomes extinct, leaving the world to the elite ...

A horrifying vision indeed; but one which builds upon the ideas of Huxley, Russell and Brzezinski, who envisioned a people who – through biological and psychological means – are made to love their own servitude. Huxley saw the emergence of a world in which humanity, still a wild animal, is domesticated;

where only the elite remain wild and have freedom to make decisions, while the masses are domesticated like pets.

Huxley opined that, 'Men and women will grow up to love their servitude and will never dream of revolution. There seems to be no good reason why a thoroughly scientific dictatorship should ever be overthrown.'"

We must ask ourselves whether the current mass vaccination campaign is a science-based effort to relieve sickness and disease or a fast-track to a dark and frightening dystopia conjured up by evil men seeking to tighten their grip on all humanity?

Sources and Reference

- ¹ [The Delingpole Podcast, Interview with Dr Mike Yeadon, Minute 44:25](#)
- ^{2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 16} [Lifesite News April 7, 2021](#)
- ⁵ [The Delingpole Podcast, Interview with Dr Mike Yeadon, Minute 41:25](#)
- ⁶ [Dictionary.com, conspiracy](#)
- ⁷ [Britannica, Conspiracy theory](#)
- ¹⁰ [Reuters April 19, 2021](#)
- ¹¹ [The New York Times April 9, 2021](#)
- ¹² [Cell April 29, 2021](#)
- ¹³ [YouTube February 17, 2021](#)
- ¹⁴ [Twitter February 9, 2021](#)
- ¹⁵ [My Thread Reader January 17, 2021](#)
- ¹⁷ [Unlimited Hangout December 26, 2020](#)
- ¹⁸ [Off-Guardian March 16, 2021](#)
- ¹⁹ [Global Research July 5, 2010](#)